

May 8, 1973

was not uncivil by me, I felt he was not being completely fair and above board. He stated that he had objections to the bill and wanted to draft the amendment and I asked him a question then and I'll ask it to him now, Senator Goodrich, if this amendment of yours, is adopted, will you support the bill?

PRESIDENT: Senator Goodrich, Senator Chambers has a question for you. State it again Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If this amendment of yours is adopted, will you support the bill?

PRESIDENT: Senator Goodrich, do you care to respond?

SENATOR GOODRICH: If we can get the bill, in a shape where the Omaha police department or the all the Nebraska sheriff's associations, organizations, all the police forces across the State of Nebraska can live with it. I certainly would not oppose the bill. However, there are some facts such as the city of Lincoln happens to have a pending liquor license. The city of Omaha when an annex Millard, happened, acquired a liquor license, that was owned by the city of Millard and is now owned by the city of Omaha. The question in my mind that we've got to resolve before we can let this bill go through, is does the very fact that the city of Omaha own a liquor license prohibit the police force from operating in the city of Omaha. Now that sounds kind of ridiculous, but is that what we are actually achieving in this bill? Since, for example, a police offer can not be associated with, as the bill now stands, can not be associated in any way with, a organization that has a liquor license, they could not be associated with the city of Omaha. We could not have a police force for the city of Omaha. If Lincoln gets their liquor license, I would suspect that they might be in the same position. This is the type of question, I want to be sure we can, we get cleared up with this bill before we let it go through. If, for example, we get these cleared up and get the original intent that you had in mind, keep those officers out of the bars, fine. I have no objection to that. I would support that 100%, but it just let's make darn sure we're getting that end and only that end and not literally, prohibiting the city of Omaha from having a police department.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Gentlemen, I submit to you, that the way the bill is drafted, it will not do what Senator Goodrich has stated and I concur with the opinion expressed by Senator Schmit, that Senator Goodrich is not saying all that is in his mind with these amendments. On the one hand, he and others would talk about what a paltry salary is received by Omaha police officers. Then on the other, he talks about an investing in the stock of United Air Lines, the railroad and I guess other type of securities intangible that we may not think that a man on this particular salary could invest in. So perhaps the question should be asked, have there already been sufficient conflicts of interest to give police officers excess revenue which to do some of the things he talks about. But the, to speak directly to the point this bill I stated, its purpose. It was advanced on a vote of 27 to 2, when there are only 29 members present Friday. I think there is not opposition to this bill, from the law enforcement fraternity, if you want to refer it to the law enforcement officers across the State in that terminology. Nobody from the State Patrol opposed it. Nobody from the Sheriff's department opposed it. Nobody from any of the small town police departments opposed it. As a matter of fact, the only other expressions about the bill, excluding those from the Omaha police department, are favorable. All expression by any other law enforcement people have been favorable. I ask